

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No. 09/675,509	Applicant(s) FULTON ET AL.
		Examiner Thaia N. Ton	Art Unit 1632

All Participants:

(1) Thaia N. Ton

(2) Richard Warburg

Date of Interview: 13 January 2010

Status of Application: Allowance

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

10

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed amending claim 10 to recite a eukaryotic expression vector in order to overcome potential issues of the same scope as claim 33. Mr. Warburg agreed to this amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Thaia N. Ton/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1632

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)